



IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)



THURSDAY, THE 10th DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2025

PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NOs: 12279 of 2024, 7639 of 2024, 12282 of 2024,
12283 of 2024, 12285 of 2024, 12286 of 2024, 18708 of 2024, 20343 of
2024, 20899 of 2024, 24081 of 2024, 29275 of 2024, 3065 of 2025, 21807 of
2026, 23843 of 2026, 24835 of 2026, 25085 of 2026, 25118 of 2026,
25152 of 2026 & 25528 of 2026

W.P.No.12279 of 2024

Between:

S. Syama Sunzar Reddy, S/o. Kamagiri Reddy, Aged about 55 years,
R/o. Burugula Village, Peapully Mandal, Nandyal District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep., by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Nandyal District, Nandyal.
3. The Circle Inspector of Police, Peapully Police Station, Peapully Mandal, Nandyal District.
4. The Sub-Inspector of Police, N.Racherla Police Station, Peapully Mandal, Nandyal District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order, or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents

No. 2 to 4 in calling upon me to Police Station in order to implicate me in a false cases and to arrest me, due to my association with Y.S.R.Congress Party and my active participation in the Assembly Election 2024, even though, I have not been involved in any crime as arbitrary, illegal, colorable exercise of power and quite contrary to the well-established legal principles being laid down by the Honourable Court and the Honourable Apex Court from time to time apart from being violative of the fundamental rights guaranteed to me under Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents No.2 to 4 not to insist my appearance at police station and not to interfere with my fundamental and Constitutional rights i.e., right of equality, life and liberty enshrined under Articles 14 and 21 and without following the due process of law.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No.2 to 4 not to interfere with petitioner's right to life and liberty without following the due process of law, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. V R REDDY KOVVURI Counsel for the Petitioner, GP FOR HOME for the Respondents;

W.P.No.7639 of 2024

Between:

Derangula Parvathamma, W/o. D.Sudhakar, Aged about 38 years, Occ: Housewife, R/o. D.No. 3/44, Boreddygaripalli Village, Galveedu Mandal, Annamayya District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Kadapa, YSR Kadapa District
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kadapa, YSR Kadapa District
4. The Circle Inspector of Police, CK Dinne (Chintha Komma Dinne) Police Station, Kadapa, YSR Kadapa District
5. The Station House Officer, CK Dinne (Chintha Komma Dinne) Police Station, Kadapa, YSR Kadapa District
6. Dara Lilaiah, W/o late D. Krishnaiah, Aged about 45 years, Occ: Employee, R/o. Anjaneyapuram, Near Annaswadi Orphan Home, Kadapa to Rajampet Road, Kadapa City, YSR Kadapa District

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents 2 & 3 in not taking any action against the Respondents 4 and 5 who are interfering in land dispute/civil dispute between the petitioner and 6th Respondent and her henchmen in connection with the house site bearing plot No. 275 situated in Sy. No. 851/5 to an extent of 0.03 cents of Home Guard Colony, CK Dinne (Chintha Komma Dinne) Village and Mandal, YSR Kadapa District is illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of article 14 and 21 of The Constitution of India and contrary to the police Standing Orders and consequently direct the Respondents 4 & 5 not to interfere in land dispute/civil dispute between the petitioner and 6th Respondent and her henchmen in connection with the house site bearing plot No. 275 situated in Sy. No. 851/5 to an extent of 0.03 cents of Home Guard Colony, CK Dinne (Chintha Komma Dinne) Village and Mandal, YSR Kadapa District.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CrP.C., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents 4 & 5 not to interfere in land dispute / civil dispute between the petitioner and 6th Respondent and her henchmen in connection with the house site bearing plot No. 275 situated in Sy. No. 951/5 to an extent of 0.03 cents of Home Guard Colony, CK Dinne (Chiruha Komma Dinna) Village and Mandal, YSR Kadapa District forthwith pending disposal of the above writ petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. SHAIK MEERAVALI, Counsel for the Petitioner, CP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos.1 to 5;

W.P.No. 12282 of 2024

Between:

Mettu Venkateswara Reddy, S/o. Mettu Rama Krishna Reddy, Aged about 50 years, R/o. Mettucali Village, Peapully Mandal, Nandyala District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep.. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Nandyal District, Nandyala.
3. The Circle Inspector of Police, Peapully Police Station, Peapully Mandal, Nandyal District.
4. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Peapully Police Station, Peapully Mandal, Nandyal District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order, or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents No. 2 to 4 in calling upon the petitioner to Police Station in order to implicate petitioner in a false cases and to arrest petitioner, due to petitioners association with Y.S.R.Congress Party and petitioners active participation in the Assembly Election 2024, even though, petitioner have not been involved in any crime as arbitrary, illegal, colorable exercise of power and quite contrary to the well-established legal principles being laid down by the Hon'ble Court and the Hon'ble Apex Court from time to time apart from being violative of the fundamental rights guaranteed to the petitioner under Articles 14, 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents No.2 to 4 not to insist the petitioners appearance at police station and not to interfere with his fundamental and Constitutional rights i.e., right of equality, life and liberty enshrined under Articles 14 and 21 and without following the due process of law:

LA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No.2 to 4 not to interfere with petitioner's right to life and liberty without following the due process of law, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated: 13.03.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. V R REDDY KOWURI, Counsel for the Petitioner and of GP FOR HOME for the Respondents;

W.P.No. 12283 of 2024

Between:

G. Bhuvaneshwara Reddy, S/o Late G.Balanki Reddy, Aged about 48 years, Occ: Businessman, R/o H.No/9-510, Hussainpuram Street, Peapully Village and Mandal, Nandyal District

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep.. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Nandyal District, Nandyal.
3. The Circle Inspector of Police, Peapully Police Station, Peapully Mandal, Nandyal District.
4. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Peapully Police Station, Peapully Mandal, Nandyal District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the actions of the respondents No.3 and 4 in calling me to their Police Station in order to implicate the petitioner in a false cases and to arrest him, due to his association with Y.S.R.Congress Party and his active participation in the Assembly Election 2024, overthough, the petitioner has not been involved in any crime as arbitrary, illegal, colourable exercise of power and quite contrary to the well established legal principles being laid down by the Hon'ble Court and the Hon'ble Apex Court from time to time apart from being violative of the fundamental rights guaranteed to the petitioner under Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents No.3 and 4 not to insist petitioners appearance in their police station and not interfere with petitioners fundamental and Constitutional rights without following of equality, life and

liberty ensnared under Articles 14 and 21 without following the due process of law.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No.2 to 4 not to interfere with petitioner's life and liberty without following the due process of law, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated: 13.06.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. V R REDDY KOVVURI, Counsel for the Petitioner, GP FOR HOME Counsel for the Respondents;

W.P.No. 12285 of 2024

Between:

Boreddy Pulla Reddy, S/o.Late B.Rangareddy, Aged about 54 years.
Occ: Businessman, R/o.D.No.5/85, Main Road, Peapull Village and
Mandal, Nandyal District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep., by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Nandyal District, Nandysla.
3. The Circle Inspector of Police, Peapully Police Station, Peapully Mandal, Nandyal District.
4. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Peapully Police Station, Peapully Mandal, Nandyal District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the actions of the respondents No.3 and 4 in calling me to their Police Station in order to implicate the petitioner in a false case and to arrest him, due to his association with Y.S.R.Congress Party and his active participation in the Assembly Election 2024, even though the petitioner has not been involved in any crime as arbitrary, illegal, colorable exercise of power and quite contrary to the well established legal principles being laid down by the Hon'ble Court and the Hon'ble Apex Court from time to time apart from being violative of the fundamental rights guaranteed to the petitioner under Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents No.3 and 4 not to insist petitioners appearance in their police station and not interfere with petitioners fundamental and Constitutional rights without following of equality, life and liberty enshrined under Articles 14 and 21 without following the due process of law;

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No.2 to 4 not to interfere with petitioners life and liberty without following the due process of law, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated: 13.06.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. V R REDDY KOVVURI, Counsel for the Petitioner and of GP FOR HOME Counsel for the Respondents;

W.P.No. 12286 of 2024

Between:

T.E. Dheesh Goud, S/o.T.E.Ramaranjeyulu Goud, Aged about 40 years,
Occ: Councillor, P.O.No.25-59-3-9-1-1, Kondapeta, Dhona, Kurnool
District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep., by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Nandyal District, Nandyal.
3. The Circle Inspector of Police, Dhona Police Station, Dhona Mandal, Nandyal District.
4. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Dhona Police Station, Dhona Mandal, Nandyal District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the actions of the respondents No.3 and 4 in calling the petitioner to their Police Station in order to implicate the petitioner in a false cases and to arrest him, due to his association with Y.S.R.Congress Party and his active participation in the Assembly Election-2024, even though, the petitioner has not been involved in any crime as arbitrary, illegal, colorable exercise of power and quite contrary to the well established legal principles being laid down by the Hon'ble Court and the Hon'ble Apex Court from time to time apart from being violative of the fundamental rights guaranteed to the petitioner under Articles 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents No.3 and 4 not to insist petitioners appearance in their police station and not interfere with petitioners

fundamental and Constitutional rights without following of equality, life and liberty enshrined under Articles 14 and 21 without following the due process of law;

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC. is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents No.2 to 4 not to interfere with petitioner's life and liberty without following the due process of law, pending disposal of the above Writ Petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated: 13.06.2024 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri V R REXOY KOVVURI, Counsel for the Petitioner and of GP FOR HOME Counsel for the Respondents;

W.P.No. 18708 of 2024

Between:

N. Chandrabah, S/o N. Doraswamy, Aged about 48 years, Occ. Senior Assistant (APSPDCL), R/o. Chilipiralla Village and Post, Pulhalapatti Mandal, Chittoor District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Buildings, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Chittoor District
3. The Circle Inspector of Police, Pulhalapattu, Chittoor District
4. The A.S.I of Police, Pulhalapattu P.S, Chittoor District
5. N. Devi W/o. N.Teja, Aged about 35 years. Occ: Not known, R/o Chilipiralla Village and Post, Pulhalapatti Mandal, Chittoor District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of the Respondents herein particularly Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 in highhandedly interfering with the civil disputes of the petitioner herein on the instigation of the Respondent No.5, pertaining to the agricultural land of an extent of 7.5 Cents, situated at Sy.No.463/2B of Chilipiralla Village, Puthalapattu Mandal, Chittoor District, without any jurisdiction or power and threatening to evict the possession is questioned as the same is arbitrary, illegal, contrary to the well-established legal principles apart from being violative of fundamental and constitutional rights guaranteed under articles 14,19,21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents, not to interfere in my civil dispute regarding the above subject property of an extent of 7.5 Cents, situated at Sy No.463/2B of Chilipiralla Village, Puthalapattu Mandal, Chittoor District;

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to DIRECT the Respondents, not to interfere in my civil dispute regarding the above subject property of an extent of 7.5 Cents, situated at Sy.No.463/2B of Chilipiralla Village, Puthalapattu Mandal, Chittoor district, pending disposal of the Writ petition before this Hon'ble Court;

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri SURESH KUMAR REDDY KALAVA, Counsel for the Petitioner and of GP FOR HOME for Respondent Nos.1 to 4;

W.P.No. 20343 of 2024

Between:

Asrivad Micro Finance Limited, having its registered office at 8th floor, No.9, Club House Road, Anna Salai, Chennai and its branch office Alur GL Branch 2/89 1st Floor, Adoni Road, Alur, Nandyal District, Andhra Pradesh. 518395.

Represented through its authorized signatory, Mr. Satyam Area Head in Andhra Pradesh

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Buildings, Amaravati, Andhra Pradesh.
2. The Station House Officer, Alur Police Station, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh.
3. D Govindappa, S/o Anjaneya. Aged about 53 years, R/o Alur Town, Nandyal district, Andhra Pradesh.
4. Chakali Basavaraju. S/o Chakali Shekanna, Aged about 54 years, R/o Halebaidu, Kurnool District.
5. K Eranna, W/o K Eranna, Aged about 31 years, R/o 2-76-23-1, Koya Nagar, Aluru, Kurnool District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order, or direction, particularly in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, by declaring the Notice issued by Respondent No.2 U/s 54 of BNSS dt06.09.2024 against the branch of Petitioner Company (Impugned Notice) and consequently extending threats to the employees of the Petitioner Company to hand over the pledged gold ornaments in respect of loan amounts sanctioned to the Respondent No.4 and 5 without discharging the loan amounts under the guise of registration of crime vide No 80 of 2024 Dated 08.09.2024 under Section 329(4) 305(a) BNS Alur Police Station and interfering with the day to day business activities of the Petitioner Company without following due process of law as illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the

law and consequently set aside the impugned notice dt.06.09.2024 and further direct the Respondents not to interfere with the business activities of the Petitioner Company without following due process of law.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay the Notice issued by the Respondent No.2, dt. 06.09.2024 against the branch of Petitioner Company by the Respondent No.2 and grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

IA NO: 2 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No. 2 not to take any coercive actions against the Petitioner Company for the purpose of handing over the gold ornaments pledged by the Respondent No.4 and 5 and grant such other relief or reliefs as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. SHAIK ASIF, Counsel for the Petitioner, UP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2:

W.P.No. 20899 of 2024

Between:

Annapureddy Padda Aluramma, W/o. Sirami Reddy, D/o. Late Narayana Reddy, Aged about 63 years, Hindu, R/o.H.No. 10/193/B, College Road, Markapur Town and Mandal, Prakasam District

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Prakasam District.
3. The Station House Officer, Markapur Police Station, Prakasam District.
4. Nane Alluri Reddy, S/o. Late Narayana Reddy, Aged about 57 years, Hindu, R/o.H.No.35-34/1, Sapthagiri Colony, Malkajgiri, Hyderabad, Telangana.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue writ of Mandamus declaring the highhanded action of respondent no.3 in interfering with the civil dispute and aiding respondent no.4 in respect of property an extent 1171.69 sq. yards situated at survey no.254/1, college road, markapur town, that too pending PIR No.90/2024 under section 125 of BNSS act as illegally, arbitrary, violation of article 14 of constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent no.3 to not to interfere into civil dispute and also take immediate action against the respondent no.4 and register crime against the respondent.

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent no.2 to consider the complaint dated 14-09-2024 filed by the petitioner pending disposal of the above writ petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of M/s.

PILLAI LAW FIRM, Counsel for the Petitioner and of GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3,

W.P.No. 24081 of 2024

Between:

Pappu Subbarao, S/o Nockayya, Aged 68 years, R/o. 8/1, Yerrakoneru,
Tuni Mandal, Hamsavaram Post, East Godavari District

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Station House Officer, Tuni Mandal, Kakinada District
3. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Tuni Rural, Tuni, Kakinada District.
4. B. Venkata Lakshmi, W/o Lakshmi Narayana, Age 32 yrs, R/o. Yerrakoneru, Tuni Mandal, Hamsavaram Post, East Godavari District

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue any writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 3rd respondent in resorting to harass the petitioner in order to favour the 4th respondent and thereby interfering with the property rights of the petitioner is illegal, arbitrary and one without jurisdiction and to consequently direct the 3rd respondent not to interfere with the property rights of the petitioner in any manner in respect of plot No. 188 in Sy no. 269/2 in Tetagunta Village, Tuni Mandal, East Godavari District vide proceedings in Ref D/198/2010 dt. 27-02-2010;

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondent No.3 not to interfere with the

property rights of the petitioner in respect of Plot No.166 in Sy No. 269/2 in Tetagunta Village, Turi Mandal, East Godavari District vide proceedings in Ref D/198/2010 dt.27.02.2010 in any manner.

The session coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri P SRAVAN KUMAR REDDY, Counsel for the Petitioner and of GP FOR HOME Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3;

W.P.No. 28275 of 2024

Between:

1. Janumala Suresh, S/o: Chennalah, Aged 26 years, Occ: Private Employee, R/o. D.No. 1-27/1, Jupudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. Siraparapu Madhu Kumar, S/o. Siva Achyamanatou, aged 33 years, Occ. Hospital Worker, R/o. Morampudi Centre D.No.89-17-46/5, Hanuma Nagar, Rajahmundry.
3. Namala Dhanunjaya Kumar, S/o. Namala Krishna Kumari, aged 38 years, Occ. Private Employee, R/o. D.No.27-22-65/A, Xavier Nagar, Ambekar Sandhu, Eluru at Eluru District.
4. Geda Anji, S/o. G. Adinarayana, Aged 32 years, Occ. Recovery Agent, R/o. D.No. 13-168, Eluru Road, Jangareddygudem, Eluru District.
5. Geda Subramanyam, S/o.G Adinarayana, Aged 32 years, Occ. Recovery Agent, R/o. D.No. 13-165, Eluru Road, Jangareddygudem, Eluru District.
6. Vedurupaka Upendra, S/o, Satyanarayana, Aged 25 years, Occ. Recovery Agent, R/o. H.No.14-18, Eluru Road, Jangareddygudem, Eluru District.

...Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep.by its Principal Secretary to the Government Home Department, Secretariat Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, East Godavari District at Rajamahendravaram.
3. The Station House Officer, Prakash Nagar Police Station, Rajamahendravaram, East Godavari District.
4. MCV Vehicle Finance, Rep.by its Director, Vatikudi Ramakrishna, S/o. Not Known, R/o. D.No.77-8-7, RTC Complex Road, Rajamahendravaram, East Godavari District.
5. Mrs Durga, W/o. Not Known, Working as Accountant in MCV Vehicle Finance, Rajamahendravaram, East Godavari District.
6. Kimaji Sarath Kumar, S/o. Ranga Rao, Aged 33 years, R/o. Siva Sai Nagar, Sompeta Village, Sompeta Mandal, Srikakulam District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS declaring the action of respondents particularly respondent No.3 at the instance of 4th respondent in forcibly obtaining empty cheques, empty signatures on blank and stamped papers and Promissory Notes from the petitioners as illegal, irregular, irrational, without any authority or sanction of law, violative of principles of natural justice and offends Articles 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents, particularly respondents 3 and 4 not to interfere with petitioners personal life and liberty and to return the empty signed cheques, empty signed blank and stamped papers and Promissory Notes to the petitioners;

IA NO: 1 OF 2024

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High

Court may be pleased to direct the respondents, particularly respondent No.3 not to interfere with petitioners personal life and liberty nor insist them to appear before 3rd respondent, pending disposal of the main Writ Petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. KISHORE KUMAR KATARI, Counsel for the Petitioners and of GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and of Sri. V NITESH, Counsel for the Respondent No.4 and of Sri. MULLA SOHAIL SHARFFF Counsel for the Respondent No.5:

W.P.No. 3065 of 2025

Between:

1. Sri Bajawada Kali Vara Prasad, S/o. Late B. Satyanarayana, Aged about 51 Years, Occ: Labour, R/o. H. No. 2-12, Chodimella Village, Eluru Mandal, Eluru District.
2. Smt. Bajawada Venkateswaramma, W/o. Late B. Satyanarayana, Aged about 52 Years, Occ: House-Wife, R/o. H. No. 2-12, Chodimella Village, Eluru Mandal, Eluru District.
3. Sri Anapalli Srinivasa Rao, S/o. A. Subba Rao, Aged 45 years, Occ: Labour, R/o. H.No.9-92, Velamapeta Street, Bhimadole Village and Mandal, Eluru District.
4. Smt. Anapalli Sunitha, W/o. A. Srinivasa Rao, Aged 41 Years, Occ: Labour, R/o. H.No.9-92, Velamapeta Street, Bhimadole Village and Mandal, Eluru District.

...Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, at Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.
2. The Superintendent of Police, At Eluru, Eluru District.
3. The Station House Officer, Dasa Police Station, Eluru, Eluru District.
4. The Station House Officer, Rural Police Station, Eluru, Eluru District.

5. The Station House Officer, Lakkavaram Police Station, Jangareddygudem Mandal, Eluru District.
6. Smt Veeramalla Sai Hurga, W/o. Veeramalla Venkateswara Rao, W/o. Belawada Kali Varaprasad. Aged: 28 Years. Occ: House-wife. R/o. H.No.2-121, Kongavarigudem Road, Guravaigudem Village, Jangareddygudem Mandal, Eluru District. A. P. - 534447.
7. Sri Veeramalla Venkateswara Rao, S/o. Late Veeramalla Pulaiah, Aged: 56 Years. Occ: Toddy Labour. R/o H.No.2-121, Kongavarigudem Road, Guravaigudem Village, Jangareddygudem Mandal, Eluru District, A. P. - 534447.
8. Smt Veeramalla Sarwalhi, W/o. Veeramalla Venkateswara Rao. Aged: 53 Years. Occ: Toddy Sales. R/o. H. No. 2-121, Kongavarigudem Road, Guravaigudem Village, Jangareddygudem Mandal, Eluru District - 534447.
9. Sri Veeramalla Rama Krishna, S/o. Venkateswara Rao, Aged: 36 years, Occ: Business. R/o.H.No.2-121, Kongavarigudem Road, Guravaigudem Village, Jangareddygudem Mandal, Eluru District - 534447.
10. Smt Rajulapali Anjali, W/o.Vijaya Bhaskar, D/o. Veeramalla Venkateswara Rao. Aged: 38 years, Occ: House-wife, R/o. H. No. 2-121,Kongavarigudem Road, Guravaigudem Village, Jangareddygudem Mandal, Eluru District - 534447.
11. Sri Rajulapali Vijaya Bhaskar Rao Vijaya Bhaskar, S/o. Pallayya, Aged: 41 years. Occ: Home Guard. R/o. H. No. 2-121, Kongavarigudem Road, Guravaigudem Village, Jangareddygudem Mandal, Eluru District - 534447.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, in action of the Respondent No.2 to 5 herein in not taking any action on the Representation of the petitioners dated

10.05.2024 followed by personal visits, as being illegal, arbitrary, unjust and against the principles of natural justice and also violation of Article 14,19 and 21 of Constitution of India, Consequently, direct the Respondent Nos.2 to 4 herein to act upon the representation dt. 10.05.2024 and to take action against the Respondent Nos. 6 to 11 accordance with law;

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents not to interfere with the life and liberty of the petitioners herein by following due process of law, pending disposal of the main writ petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. BABUJI TENNETI, Counsel for the Petitioners and of GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos 1 to 5;

W.P.No. 21807 of 2025

Between:

1. A. Reddamma, W/o. P.Jayachandra Reddy, aged about 42 years, Plot No.116, Non teaching plots, Thummalagunta, Kalanda Nagar, Tirupali Rural, Tirupali District Andhra Pradesh-517502.
2. M.Chithra, W/o. M.Eswar Reddy, aged about 48 years, D.No.7-8, Residing at Guntha Gadanki Village, Pakala Mandal, Tirupali District, Andhra Pradesh-517112.
3. Kothapalle Kesava Reddy, W/o.Late K.Munirathnam Reddy, aged about 85 years, D.No. 1-5-599M, Meghana Residency, Balaji Colony, Tirupali Urban, Tirupali District, Andhra Pradesh-517501.

....Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh rep by its Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Secretariat Building, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, A.P - 522238.
2. The Superintendent of Police, Tirupati, Tirupati District, A.P -517501
3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police, Tirupati Rural Division, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Tirupati District - 517501.
4. The Circle-Inspector, Tirupati Rural Police Station, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Tirupati District - 517501
5. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Tirupati Rural Police Station, Tirupati Rural Mandal, Tirupati District - 517501.
6. Kone Reddy Ramasubba Reddy, S/o. K.Ramakrishna Reddy, aged about 58 years, D.No 1/23-A, Mylapalli, Chilvel, YSR-Cuddapah District-516104.
7. Mannuru Viewanath Reddy, S/o. not known to the petitioners, Aged about 55 years, D.No.1/23-A Mylapalli, Chilvel, YSR-Cuddapah District-516104.
8. Akapati Siva Bhaskar Reddy, S/o. not known to the petitioners, Aged about 54 years, D.No 1/23-A, Mylapalli, Chilvel, YSR-Cuddapah District-516104.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring above acts of the respondent No.3 to 5 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Art 19(1)(g) and 14, 16 and 21 and 300A of constitution of India and also direct the respondent Nos.2 to 5 not to harass the Petitioners by calling and summoning the petitioners to the police station nor in any mode in connection with civil disputes between the petitioners and the respondent No.6 to 8 and also direct the respondent Nos.2 to 5 not to interfere in civil disputes between the petitioners and the respondent Nos. 6 to 8.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents 2 to 5 not to interfere with the Petitioners day to day activity by calling or summoning them to the Tirupati Rural Police Station nor in any mode in connection with civil disputes between the petitioners and the respondent No.6 to 8, pending disposal of Writ Petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. RAYALCHERUVU ABISHEK REDDY, Counsel for the Petitioners and of GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos.1 to 5:

W.P.No. 23843 of 2025

Between:

1. Bijiivemula Ramana Reddy, S/o. B.Stappa Reddy, aged about 54 Years, R/o. D.No.1/49, Chintalacheruvu Village, Badvel Mandal, YSR (Kadapa) District-516227
2. Bijiivemula Narasamma, W/o. Bijiivemula Ramana Reddy, aged about 49 Years, R/o. D.No.1/49, Chintalacheruvu Village, Badvel Mandal, YSR (Kadapa) District-516227

...Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Represented by its Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Secretariat Buildings, Velgapudi, Amravathi, Andhra Pradesh-522238.
2. The Director General of Police, State of Andhra Pradesh, Amravathi-522503.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Kadapa, YSR (Kadapa) District-515001.
4. The Tahsildar, Copavaram Mandal, YSR (Kadapa) District-516227.
5. The Deputy SuperIntendent of Police, Mydukur, YSR (Kadapa) District-516172

6. The Station House Officer, Badvel Urban Police Station, YSR (Kadapa) District-516227
7. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Badvel Urban Police Station, YSR (Kadapa) District 516227.
8. Nagireddy Subba Reddy, S/o. Abbi Reddy, aged about 57 Years, R/o. Bayanapalle Village, Badvel Mandal, YSR (Kadapa) District - 516227, State of Andhra Pradesh. Amravathi-522503.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents more particularly respondent No.5 to 7 in interfering with the matters of civil disputes pertaining to House No.7-1-783/7 vide Plot No. 175 in Survey No. 953 in respect of petitioner No.1 and House No.7- 1-783/6 vide Plot No. 176 Survey No. 953 in respect of petitioner No.2 situated in Mohammad Colony, ward No.7, Badvel (erstwhile of Madakalavaripalle Revenue Village, Gopavaram Mandal, YSR (Kadapa) District) in between the petitioners and the unofficial respondent as illegal, arbitrary without jurisdiction and also violating Article 14, 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents not to interfere in civil dispute pertaining to House No.7-1-783/7 vide Plot No. 175 in Survey No. 953 in respect of petitioner No.1 and House No.7- 1-783/6 vide Plot No. 176 Survey No. 953 in respect of petitioner No.2 situated in Mohammad Colony, ward No.7, Badvel (erstwhile of Madakalavaripalle Revenue Village, Gopavaram Mandal, YSR (Kadapa District)

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC., is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents more particularly respondent No.5 to 7 not to interfere in civil disputes pertaining to House No.7-1-783/7 vide Plot No. 175 in Survey No. 953 in respect of petitioner No.1 and House

No.7-1-783/5 vide Plot No. 1/6 Survey No. 953 in respect of petitioner No.2 situated in Mohammad Colony, ward No.7, Dadevi (erstwhile) of Madakavaripalle Revenue Village, Gopavaram Mandal, YSR (Kadapa) District, pending disposal of the main writ petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. SHAIK MOHAMMED ISMAIL Counsel for the Petitioners, GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos.1 to 7.

W.P.No. 24835 of 2028

Between:

1. Baggu Eswaramma, W/o Baskara Rao, Aged about 56 years, Cultivation, R/o D.No.1-42, Alikam Village, Srikakulam Mandal, Srikakulam District – 532186,
2. Baggu Swathi, D/o Baskara Rao, Aged about 36 years, Cultivation, R/o D.No.1-42, Alikam Village, Srikakulam Mandal, Srikakulam District- 532186,

...Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat Building, Velagapudi, Amaravati, Guntur District - 522 239
2. The Superintendent of Police, Srikakulam District, Srikakulam - 532011.
3. The SHO, Srikakulam Rural Police Station, Pedapadu, Srikakulam District - 532401.
4. Banna Krishnaveni, W/o Srinivasu (late), Aged about 45 years, R/o D.No.4-23, Alikam Village, Srikakulam Mandal, Srikakulam District – 532186
5. Banna Naveen, S/o Srinivasu (late), Aged about 28 years, R/o D.No. 4-23, Alikam Village, Srikakulam Mandal, Srikakulam District - 532186.

6. Banna Someswara Rao, S/o Simhachalam, Aged about 27 years, R/o Alikam Village, Srikakulam Mandal, Srikakulam District – 532196.
7. Banna Rama Rao, S/o Polivadu, Aged about 42 years, R/o Alikam Village, Srikakulam Mandal, Srikakulam District – 532196.
8. Banna Sundara Rao, S/o Polivadu, Aged about 40 years, R/o Alikam Village, Srikakulam Mandal, Srikakulam District- 532196.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondent No.2 and 3 in constantly insulting, coaxing and harassing the petitioners to settle the civil dispute pending in O.S.No.508/2024 on the file of II Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Srikakulam, at the instance and behest of 4th to 8th Respondents, in respect of Petitioner's absolute property admeasuring a total extent of Ac. 1.81 cents, out of which, Ac.0.39 cents situated in Survey No.29-5, Ac.0-20 cents situated in Survey No.108-7, Ac.0-08 cents situated in Survey No.118-7 and Ac.0-14 cents situated in Survey No.29-7P, Ac.0-12 cents situated in Survey No.58-5, Ac.0-41 cents situated in Survey No.38-1P, Ac.0-14 cents situated in Survey No.38-1, Ac.0-33 cents situated in Survey No.108-6 of Alikam Revenue Village, Srikakulam Mandal, Srikakulam District as illegal, arbitrary, unjust, violation of fundamental rights guaranteed under Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents No. 2 and 3 not to interfere with the civil dispute pending in O.S.No.508/2024 on the file of II Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Srikakulam, and to refrain from harassing or threatening the Petitioners,

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 of CPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondents 2 & 3 not to interfere in any

manner with peaceful possession and enjoyment of Petitioner's absolute properties admeasuring Ac. 1-31 cents, out of which, Ac.0-33 cents situated in Survey No.29-5, Ac.0-20 cents situated in Survey No.105-7, Ac.0-08 cents situated in Survey No.118-7 and Ac.0-14 cents situated in Survey No.29-7P, Ac.0-12 cents situated in Survey No.56-5, Ac.0-41 cents situated in Survey No.38-1P, Ac.0-14 cents situated in Survey No.38-1, Ac.0-33 cents situated in Survey No.109-8 of Alikam Revenue Village, Srikakulam Mandal, Srikakulam District, and further restrain from Respondents No.2 & 3 from cooing, harassing, summoning or forcing the petitioners to settle the civil dispute pending in O.S.No.509/2024 on the file of II Additional Civil Judge (Junior Division), Srikakulam, pending disposal of WRIT PETITION 24835 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated: 02.09.2026 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri. MANDALI CHIRANJEEVI BABU, Advocate for Petitioners and of GP FOR HOME for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3;

W.P.No. 25095 of 2026

Between:

Goli Nagasaina Rao, S/o. Late Goli Vignaradhani, Aged about 68 years, Occ: Senior Cardiothoracic Surgeon, R/o 2-2-647 278, Bagh Amberpet, C. E. Colony, Amberpet, Hyderabad 500013.

....Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep by its Principal Secretary Home Department, A. P. Secretariat Velagapudi, Guntur District, A. P. 522237
2. The Director General of Police, Andhra Pradesh, Mangalagiri, Guntur District-522503
3. The Superintendent of Police, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh- 522004

4. The Sub - Inspector of Police, Tenali Rural Police Station, Guntur District, A.P- 522201

5. Sri Bhupal Reddy, R/o Kollisara Village Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh - 522304

...Respondents

Petition under Article 228 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of Respondent No 4 in interfering with the civil dispute relating to the alleged financial liability insisting that the Petitioner appear before the Tenali Rural Police Station and execute an alleged agreement acknowledging a monetary liability of Rs 25,00,000 in favour of Respondent No 5 as illegal arbitrary and violative of Articles 14, 21 and 300A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents not to use police machinery for recovery or settlement of any disputed private monetary claim of Respondent No. 5 except by following the procedure established by law;

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent No 4 and his subordinates to restrain from summoning threatening or interfering with the Petitioner in relation to the alleged agreement acknowledging a monetary liability of Rs 25,00,000 in favour of Respondent No 5, pending disposal of WRIT PETITION 25095 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated: 07.08.2028 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Ms.

AISHWARYA NAGULA, Advocate for Petitioner and OP FOR HOME for Respondents No. 1 to 4;

W.P.No. 25118 of 2026

Between:

Sri V Bangaraju, S/o Nockaraju, Ages 32 yrs, Occ: Business, D.No.26-5-128/1, Prasad Gardens, Old bus stand, Visakhapatnam Urban, Visakhapatnam 530001, Aadhar No.2/55 4616 9065

...Petitioner

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep., by its Principal Secretary (Home Department) Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi - 522237
2. The Commissioner of Police, Visakhapatnam City - 530020
3. The Station House Officer, I Town Police Station, Visakhapatnam City- 530001
4. The Sub Inspector of Police (Traffic) I Town Police Station, Visakhapatnam City - 530001
5. Greater Visakhapatnam Municipal Corporation GVMC, Represented by its Commissioner, Assilmetta Junction, Visakhapatnam - 530003

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ or Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the Respondents particularly the Respondent No.2 to 4 Police Continuously Interfering into the Petitioner's day to day Official Activities in Collection of daily Aaseel for the Allotted Contract by the 5th Respondent Municipal Corporation at Poorna Market Area, Visakhapatnam for the period from 01-04-2026 to 31-03-2027 even though the Petitioner is Collected Strictly as per the Gazette Notification issued by the 5th Respondent inspite of the same, whatever the dispute in between the Petitioner and the 5th Respondent It is nothing but purely a Civil

Dispute. Inspite of the same the Police Continuously disturbing the Petitioner's Activities and Harassing one way or another there by the Petitioner is causing huge loss monetary , mentally all are Illegal , Unlawful. Violation of Article 14,19,21 of the Constitution of India. Consequently to direct the Respondent Police 2 to 4 not to interfere into the Civil Dispute particularly in connection with the Collection of Assel at Poonna Market Area, Visakhapatnam as allotted officially by the 5th respondent for the Period 01-04-2026 to 31-03-2027 by Allowing the Writ Petition.

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 GPC is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the Respondent Police 2 to 4 not to interfere into the Civil Dispute particularly in connection with the Collection of Assel at Poonna Market Area, Visakhapatnam as allotted officially by the 5th respondent for the Period 01-04-2026 to 31-03-2027 Strictly as per the Gazette Notification in accordance with law, pending disposal of WRIT PETITION 25113 of 2026, on the file of the High Court.

The Petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated 07.09.2026 in the herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri R SIVA SAI SWARUP, Counsel for the Petitioner and of GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos 1 to 4 and of Sri A.S.C.BOSE, STANDING COUNSEL for Respondent No.5;

W.P.No. 25152 of 2026

Between:

1. Challa Chinna Pothi Reddy, S/o Late Raghava Reddy, Aged about 55 years, R/o. Chakratagalapalem Village, Vinukonda Mandal, Palnadu District. 522847

2. Challa Sadevi, W/o. Challa Chinna Polli Reddy, Aged about 40 years, R/o. Chokategalapalem Village, Vinukonda Mandal, Palnadu District 522647

Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, A.P Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amravati, Guntur. 522238
2. The Superintendent of Police, Narasaraopet, Palnadu District. 522801
3. The Station House Officer, Town Police Station, Vinukonda, Palnadu District. 522847
4. Para Venkata Lakshmalah, S/o. Pedda Ramalaha, R/o. Kotha Jangaredypalem, Kotha Jaddavaripalem, Nuzendla Mandal, Guntur District. 522680

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate Writ, Order or direction declaring the action of 3rd Respondent herein in interfering with our personal liberty with a view to settle the issues in O.S.No.30 of 2011 on the file of the XIII Additional District Judge, Narasaraopet at the behest of the 4th Respondent as arbitrary, illegal, unconstitutional, without jurisdiction and consequently direct the 3rd Respondent forthwith not resort to acts unknown to law;

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 3rd Respondent herein not to interfering with our personal liberty with a view to settle the issues in O.S.No.30 of 2011 on the file of the XIII Additional District Judge, Narasaraopet at the behest of the 4th Respondent in so far as the schedule properties in the suit pending disposal of the Writ Petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and the earlier order of the High Court dated: 03.09.2028 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri, S DILIP JAYA RAM, Advocate for the Petitioner and of GP FOR HOME for the Respondents 1 to 3;

W.P.No. 26528 of 2028

Between:

1. Smt. Kappeta Adilakshmi, W/o Kappeta Venkatarami Reddy, Aged about 50 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Banaganapalli Town, Nandyal District.
2. Smt. Obulapuram Lakshmi Subbamma, W/o Bala Pakkiri, Aged about 32 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Banaganapalli Town, Nandyal District.
3. Smt. Kamesetty Adi Lakshmi W/o Krishna Murthy, Aged about 46 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Gokuldinna Village, Ovk Mandal, Nandya District.
4. Smt. Obulapuram Venkata Subbamma, W/o Pakkiri, Aged about 70 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Banaganapalli Town, Nandyal District.
5. Smt. Gootybesi Subha Lakshminamma, W/o Munneiah, Aged about 46 years, Occ. Agriculture, R/o Banaganapalli Town, Nandyal District.
6. Sri Gootybesi Prasad, S/o G. Munneiah, Aged about 28 years years, Occ Agriculture, R/o Banaganapalli Town, Nandyal District.

...Petitioners

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Amaravati-522230
2. The Superintendent of Police, Nandyal District, Nandyal-518122
3. The Station House Officer, Ovk Police Station, Nandyal District-518122
4. Sri Dwaram Ramakrishna Reddy, S/o D.Balreddy, aged about 40 years, R/o Gokuldinna Village, Ovk Mandal, Nandyal District-518122
5. Sri Dwaram Siva Reddy, S/o D. Balreddy, R/o Gokuldinna Village, Ovk Mandal, Nandyal District-518122

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue any writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of respondents 3 to 5 in interfering with the civil disputes relating to the agricultural lands of the petitioners situated at Gokuldinna Village, Gundla Singavaram Majara, Ouk Mandal, Nandyal District, covered under various extents in Sy.Nos.154/2, 154/4 and 162, despite the pendency of O.S.Nos.65 of 2026, 66 of 2026 and 93 of 2026 on the file of the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Banaganapalli, and in summoning, threatening, coercing or otherwise directing the petitioners and their family members to vacate, refrain from entering upon or cultivating the subject properties in the absence of any order passed by a competent Court, as illegal, arbitrary, without authority of law and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct respondents not to interfere in the civil disputes between the petitioners and respondents 4 and 5 or in the peaceful possession and cultivation of the petitioners in respect of the subject properties, except in accordance with law;

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC, is filed praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to interfere pending civil cases vide O.S.Nos.65 of 2026, 66 of 2026 and 93 of 2026 on the file of the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division) and not to harass the petitioners for settlement of civil disputes by calling them to the police station interfering with their personal liberty pending disposal of the writ petition.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed in support thereof and upon hearing the arguments of Sri ABDUL MATHEEN S, Counsel for the Petitioners and of GP FOR HOME for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3;

The Court made the following COMMON ORDER:

1. Learned counsels for the respective parties as well as learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing for the State and its personnel, are present.
2. Mr.N.Rupeswar Reddy, learned counsel representing Mr.V.R.Reddy Kovvuri, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.S.Dilip Jaya Ram, learned counsel for the petitioners, advanced their arguments and pointed out the very powers of the Police authorities in interfering in civil disputes and how it affects on society at large. Learned counsels unequivocally stated that the police interference in civil disputes will infringe the Fundamental Rights of the petitioners and their family members. Counsels also placed the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in support of their arguments.
3. Conversely, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home merely stated that Police authorities are not interfering with the civil disputes between the parties.
4. It is apt to note that this Court is witnessing series of cases instituted before this Court alleging interference by Police in civil disputes and its effects on petitioners in multiple ways i.e., physically, psychologically and financially.
5. Considering the aforesaid core issue, respondent No.1-Principal Secretary, Home Department, Government of Andhra Pradesh as well as Director General of Police for the State of Andhra Pradesh are hereby directed to place before this Court all relevant Government Orders/ Guidelines/Memos/Communications issued by the Government of Andhra Pradesh and Director General of Police for the State of Andhra Pradesh, whereby and whereunder directing the Police officers not to involve in civil disputes without any legal cause etc.
6. Hence, list these cases on 15.09.2026 in Adjourned Motion List.

7. Learned Assistant Government Pleader is directed to communicate the gist of this order to the Principal Secretary, Home Department as well as the Director General of Police, State of Andhra Pradesh, for appropriate measures.

Sd/- U.SRIDEVI
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

SECTION OFFICER

//TRUE COPY//

To,

1. The Principal Secretary, Home Department, The State of Andhra Pradesh, Secretariat buildings, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District. (by SPECIAL MESSENGER)
2. The Director General of Police, State of Andhra Pradesh, Amaravathi, 522003 (BY SPAD)
3. One CC to Sri. V R REDDY KOWURI, Advocate [OPUC]
4. One CC to Sri. SHAIK MEERAVALLI, Advocate [OPUC]
5. One CC to Sri. SURESH KUMAR REDDY KALAVA, Advocate [OPUC]
6. One CC to Sri. SHAIK ASIF, Advocate [OPUC]
7. One CC to Sri. P. BRAVAN KUMAR REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
8. One CC to Sri. KISHORE KUMAR KATARI, Advocate [OPUC]
9. One CC to Sri. V. NITESH, Advocate [OPUC]
10. One CC to Sri. MULLA SOHAIL SHAREEF, Advocate [OPUC]
11. One CC to Sri. BABUJI TENNETI, Advocate [OPUC]
12. One CC to Sri. ABDUL MATHEEN S, Advocate [OPUC]
13. One CC to Sri. S. DILIP JAYA RAM, Advocate [OPUC]
14. One CC to Sri. RAYAL CHERUVU ADISHIEK REDDY, Advocate [OPUC]
15. One CC to Sri. MOHAMMED ISMAIL, Advocate [OPUC]
16. One CC to Sri. MANDALI CHIRANJEEVI BABU, Advocate [OPUC]
17. One CC to Sri. R. SIVA SAI SWARUP, Advocate [OPUC]

19. One CC to Sri. A.S.C. BOSE, STANDING COUNSEL [OPUC]
19. One CC to Ms. AISHWARYA NAGULA, Advocate [OPUC]
20. One CC to Mrs. PILLIX LAW FIRM, Advocate [OPUC]
21. Two CCs to GP FOR HOME, High Court of Andhra Pradesh [OUT]
22. One spare copy

JSS

HIGH COURT

MRK. J

DATED:10/09/2026

LIST THESE CASES ON 15.09.2026 IN ADJOURNED MOTION LIST

ORDER

WRIT PETITION NOs: 12279 of 2024, 7639 of 2024, 12282 of 2024, 12283 of 2024, 12285 of 2024, 12286 of 2024, 18708 of 2024, 20343 of 2024, 20899 of 2024, 24081 of 2024, 29275 of 2024, 3065 of 2025, 21807 of 2026, 23843 of 2026, 24835 of 2026, 25085 of 2026, 26118 of 2026, 25152 of 2026 & 26528 of 2026

DIRECTION

