ITEM NO.19 COURT NO.1 SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 60436/2026

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 18-06-2026 in WP No. 36057/2025 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh Principal Seat at Jabalpur]

UMANG SINGHAR **Petitioner(s)**

VERSUS

STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH & ORS. **Respondent(s)**

(IA No. 331821/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING and IA No. 331819/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Date : 04-11-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE V. MOHANA

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Vivek Tankha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mareesh Pravir Sahay- 2638, AOR
Mr. Lalit Baid, Adv.
Ms. Yaman Verma, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Jindal, Adv.
Mr. Kartik Virmani, Adv.
Mr. Vibhor Khandelwal, Adv.
Mr. Jayesh Gurnani, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.

2. We have heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

3. We do not deem it necessary to issue notice to the respondents. The order, prejudicial to their interests, is proposed to be passed. From the records, we find that the allegations against respondent no. 4 are that she voluntarily gave up membership of the

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by NITIN TALREJA
Date: 2026.11.07 09:49:39 IST
Reason:

political party on whose ticket she was declared elected. On this premise, it is alleged that respondent No. 4 has incurred disqualification under the Tenth Schedule to the Constitution.

4. It further appears that a petition seeking her disqualification was filed before the learned Speaker of the State Legislative Assembly on 05.07.2024, but the same has not been decided so far. The petitioner approached the High Court and, by the impugned judgment, the Writ Petition was dismissed on the ground that there was no urgency in the matter.

5. It seems to us that even where considerable time remains before the expiry of the term of the Assembly, petitions seeking disqualification under the Tenth Schedule are expected to be decided expeditiously, in light of the decision of this Court in *Padi Kaushik Reddy etc. v. The State of Telangana & Ors. etc.*, 2025 INSC 912. We, therefore, dispose of this Special Leave Petition with a request to the learned Speaker of the Madhya Pradesh Legislative Assembly to decide the pending disqualification petition expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand closed.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
DY. REGISTRAR